



## Paper 10

FARJAMI & FARJAMI LLP 26522 LA ALAMEDA AVENUE, SUITE 360 MISSION VIEJO CA 92691 **COPY MAILED** 

OCT 0 3 2005

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of Shahriar Najand

Application No. 09/677,227

Filed: September 29, 2000

Attorney Docket Number: 0190148

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed May 24, 2005, to revive the above identified application.

## The petition is **GRANTED**.

This application became abandoned for failure to timely reply to the non-Final Office Action mailed September 26, 2003. A shortened statutory period of three months was set for replying to the non-Final Office Action. No extensions of time having been requested, this application became abandoned December 27, 2003. Accordingly, a Notice of Abandonment was mailed April 21, 2004.

Petitioner files the instant petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b). 1

This application is being forwarded to Technology Center 2621 for appropriate action on the amendment filed May 24, 2005.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter should be directed to the undersigned Petitions

Attorney at (571) 272-3212.

Patricia Faison-Ball

Senior Petitions Attorney

Office of Petitions

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>I</sup> Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) <u>must</u> be accompanied by:

<sup>(1)</sup> the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof.

<sup>(2)</sup> the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

<sup>(3)</sup> a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may required additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and